

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/849,776	05/21/2004	Tsung-Seng Lee	2450-0708PUS1	9020
2292	7590 12/07/2004	•	EXAM	INER
	EWART KOLASCH &	LANGDON, EVAN H		
	PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			PAPER NUMBER
•			3654	-
			DATE MAILED: 12/07/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		۶۵,			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/849,776	LEE, TSUNG-SENG			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Evan H Langdon	3654			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may sply within the statutory minimum of t d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo tte, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) The string This action is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal ma				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 3654

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: the claim must end in a period. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seiders (US 4,315,606) in view of Rosenberg (US 2,964,252).

Seiders discloses a rod winding apparatus, comprising:

a rack 1,2 having a bar 2;

a chuck dock 7 located on one end of the rack 1 having a first seat which has a first coupling extended downwards;

an adjustment dock 3 mounted on the rack having a second seat on a lower side that has a second coupling formed thereof;

a bracing dock 3 mounted on the rack having a third coupling extended upwards thereof; and

a winding dock 14 located on the rack having a trough on the bottom of one side thereof;

wherein the mounting locations of the adjustment dock 3, the bracing dock 3 and the winding dock 14 are adjustable according to the length of rods.

Seiders discloses one guide bar 2 but fails to disclose two round bars for guiding a adjusting of the adjustment dock 3, the bracing dock 3 and the winding dock 14.

Rosenburg teaches two round bars 38, 40 and two couplings for the chuck dock 49, the bracing dock and the winding dock 36. The winding dock 36 is shown having an arched trough and is located on one side of the guide rails 38, 40.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the single bar guide rack of Seiders to include two opposing round bars as suggested by Rosenberg, to better stabilize and facilitated smother readjustment when adjusting the adjustment dock, the bracing dock and the winding dock according to the length of rods.

In regards to claims 2-4, Seiders as modified by Rosenburg teaches the couplings having bores to receive the round bars.

In regards to claim 5, Seiders as modified by Rosenburg teaches the arched trough of the winding dock mates the curvature of the round bar (Fig 1, Rosenberg).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Evan H Langdon whose telephone number is (703)-306-5768.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

Art Unit: 3654

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on (703)-308-2688. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ehl

EILEEN D. LILLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600